

AI USE STATEMENT

EVERY SUBMISSION

REQUIRED TO PASS

NOT SCORED ON ITS OWN

Disclosed use is never penalised. Undisclosed use is an academic integrity matter — that is the only teeth in this policy, and they point at concealment, not at use.

YOU CAN COMPLETE THIS COURSE WITHOUT USING GENERATIVE AI

If you do, this form still takes about two minutes and can score a full, complete answer — see question three. Tell me and we will set up the equivalent path for the Probe and the Research Pack.

THREE QUESTIONS

01 · WHAT

What did you use, and for what? Be specific about the task, not the tool. “Drafted the interview guide, which I then cut every leading question out of” tells me something. “Used ChatGPT” does not. Write “none” if none.

02 · CHECKED

Anything AI-surfaced that ended up in your submission — how did you verify it? Which claims are marked [unverified] in research.md, and why?

03 · REJECTED

What did you reject, and why? Either output you turned down and what was wrong with it, or places you chose not to use it at all and your reasoning. This is the part I actually read.

WHY QUESTION THREE IS WORDED THAT WAY

Because a student who used no AI anywhere can answer it completely — by explaining that choice — and score exactly as well as a student who used it heavily and judged it well. The question is about judgment, not about tooling, and judgment is the thing this course grades.

NO DETECTORS

I will not run detection software on your work, and I will not treat a detector score as evidence of anything. If I have a question about your process I will ask you to walk me through your decisions.md. That conversation is the evidence, and you may bring someone with you.

WHERE TO PUT IT

A short section at the end of the submission, or a separate file in your notebook repo — either is fine. There is a markdown template in the Project Notebook folder. It is required to pass and it is not scored on its own; what it evidences is scored under Process + Practice.